

## 10/29/09 Dot Vote Results - RSG requests for technical or educational assistance

- **26 votes** - Best local solutions for disposal of the CKD leachate (deep well injection, public utility waste water treatment plant, treatment on site)
- **15 votes** - Conduct a Workshop that focuses on mercury; CMS's bench scale testing of mercury removal efficiencies using various treatment technologies, and mercury material balance modeling for Lake Michigan
- **14 votes** - Economic impact of various possible outcomes
- **14 votes** - Base case of situation pre 1994 vs current and proposed
- **11 votes** - contamination caused by CKD leachate at Bay Harbor versus background contamination from other sources (like coal fired power plants, specifically mercury emissions)
- **10 votes** - local geology
- **9 votes** - How CKD is being treated and handled in other facilities across the US
- **8 votes** - Mercury Flux Analyses (once data collection is complete)
- **7 votes** - chemical behavior of various toxic elements and compounds thereof
- **6 votes** - Groundwater and surface water flows and relevance to site
- **5 votes** - Hydrology understanding
- **4 votes** - Site impacts on toxics, both current and proposed remediation
- **4 votes** - statistical methods to be utilized in analyzing data and formulating recommendations
- **3 votes** - Costs for alternative remediation concepts
- **2 votes** - Alternative Evaluation West, Seep 2, Seep 1 CKD Areas
- **2 votes** - Prepare a critique of CMS consultant's groundwater modeling
- **1 vote** - detailed understanding of how toxic substances are spread, and the influence of weather and atmospheric phenomena
- **1 vote** - Legal authority regarding responsible party liability
- **1 vote** - Current and proposed toxic standards (mercury)
- **0 votes** - Mixing zone
- **0 votes** - Prepare a critique of CMS consultant's RI/AE documents, by April 2010, another Work Group activity